

6. Explain the meaning that you associate with the statement, 'I am XYZ'. (For 'XYZ' substitute your own name.)

'I am Worapol Hitamata'. Of course for me in my own internal world, this sentence is a true statement. I don't need to declare this to myself. If I believe that only private language exists, no one can argue the correctness of this statement with me. But to make this statement be objective or speak it in a public language way. It may not always be a true statement even if the speaker really is Mr. Worapol Hitamata. It can be a false statement if it was some other person, not me, who made this statement.

It is the asymmetry between myself and others that allows us to communicate with each other. There should always be a gap or difference between two different subject's opinions. One subject can see the object in their own view with supreme confidence of knowing the truth. But when the different view of another subject in the external world is presented to us, we may see it in another perspective. The more arguable can make the issue more correct like Mill argued. The authority of another speaker of the language can correct my own private judgments.

When I express my opinion to another person, I can receive feedback from the other person about my opinion in both verbal and nonverbal language. In that way, we can practice for the final circumstance. To make the sentence 'I am Worapol Hitamata' true beyond only my subjective view but objectively, I have to practice it with the public. I may speak this statement at home, at 3 o'clock in the morning alone on the bed but not speak out. But to tell the world that I really am Worapol Hitamata and get them to remember and accept and agree with me, I have to make sure that I do practice enough with them. I may need to identify myself by my papers if I go to get my driver's license for the first time and say 'I am Worapol Hitamata'. The officer may just nod his head but that is not enough for me.

Absolute power in society should determine important decisions in that society. Otherwise that society will get chaos. But for the language, we all judge the meaning of our language all the time, generation to generation. When people who use language every day start to not accept that old meaning of the word any more, that society will follow that change little by little until it becomes the norm of that society. The normative way that we keep practicing our public objective may make us confuse for the first time. We all have our own private judgment that may not necessarily agree with the public. But we learn from practice it of majority of circumstance and accept it as norm in society.

The final judgment with the word's meaning in the society that comes from the Prime Minister will never work in realistic. It may exist for a moment, but it should be facing off soon. It does not work that way, for my opinion. It's the same idea of culture, the culture of food or the culture of language. We eat what we used to eat. We eat the way we used to eat. Wherever we move, we can't forget the prior existence of these traditions. It's in our mind or in us. Language will change, driven by the way we live. In the past, humans lived in mostly agricultural society. The life is still easy, slow and live near with nature. We may speak in the original, plain and slow way. When we live in more modern and high technology society, we are no longer speak in the same way as before anymore. We may use more new modern vocabulary in our daily life. The word like 'Facebook', 'Share' or 'Google' can conquer our life unnoticed. The change can happen little by little.

I can't take care of myself when I am upset or feel lonely. I just tell myself that some great inspiration sentence will never make me feel the same way as if I receive it from other people. I still feel lonely because I, myself, should deeply know that actually it is only me who really exists there, no one else. So if I feel hesitant about my own judgment on some issue, I absolutely can't feel confident enough in the truth of my judgment. I will really want another person that can give me another perspective to correct my opinion. Why would I feel more satisfied with another person's opinions rather than only my opinion alone? As solipsism argues, what I think is right is already right for me. So I will need other opinions from the external world, other subjects who are absolutely separate from me physically.

'I am Worapol Hitamata'. Do I need to practice this statement with the public? Do I not know the truth of this sentence? Well, if I need to use it objectively, I have to. But that is not necessary in my own dream.